Incidentalomas in Radiology



GRAND ROUNDS 5/23/2017

AJ Lewis, MD MBA Boca Radiology Group

Disclosures

NONE

Incidental Findings EVERYWHERE

How hospitals make sure 'incidental findings' don't fall through the cracks

Clinics, automated alerts prompt followup action

9:01 AM - November 12, 2014

A third of imaging tests reveal "incidental findings" that were not related to the purpose of the test, but doctors often don't investigate further, the *Wall Street Journal*'s Laura Landro reports.

Sayon Dutta, an ED physician at **Massachusetts General Hospital**, emphasizes the importance of acting on incidental findings, or abnormalities uncovered unintentionally and unrelated to the condition that prompted a test. "You had the luck of finding this thing early because you had a CT scan for another reason, and you should take advantage of that early detection to get the best care possible," he says.

But last year, a study in the *Annals of Emergency Medicine* found that only half of ED radiology reports that had notes on incidental findings were followed up on.

INCIDENTAL FINDINGS

ELatest Management Recommendations



QUALITY IS OUR IMAGE

CT or MRI Abdomen/Pelvis: Adrenal Lesions Pancreatic Cystic Lesions Renal Lesions Liver Lesions, no risk of HCC Liver Lesions, risk of HCC (LI-RADS) Splenic Lesions Lymph Node Findings Adnexal Lesions Gallbladder and Biliary Lesions

> Ultrasound: Cystic Adnexal Lesions Other Adnexal Lesions Thyroid Nodules

Chest:

Solid Pulmonary Nodules Subsolid Pulmonary Nodules *new*

Vascular:

Abdominal Aortic or Iliac Aneurysms Splenic or Renal Aneuryms Other Abdominal Vascular Findings







Source: White Paper: Managing Incidental Findings on Abdominal CT, JACR, October 2011

Relevant Links: <u>Washout Calculator</u> <u>Caoili et al: CT Characterization of Adrenal Masses, Radiology, 2002</u> <u>ACR Appropriateness Criteria for Incidental Adrenal Nodule, 2006</u> <u>Song et al: Prevalence of Adrenal Disease in 1049 Consecutive Adrenal Masses, AJR, 2008</u> <u>Of 1049 masses, 100% were benign in patients with no suspicion of malignancy.</u>

Low attenuation (<10 HU) Right adrenal adenoma



No follow-up needed

Indeterminate right adrenal nodule



Adrenal protocol CT



Source: White Paper: Managing Incidental Findings on Abdominal CT, JACR, October 2011

Some Relevant Links:

Ip et. al., Focal Cystic Pancreatic Lesions: Variation in Management Recomm., Radiology, April 2011 2.2% of CT's and 15.9% of MR's detect focal cystic pancreatic lesions.

Sahani et. al., Pancreatic Cysts 3 cm or Smaller, Radiology, Mar. 2006

87% of cysts <3cm were benign (75 of 86), 97% of unilocular cysts (35 of 36) were benign.

Indeterminate Cystic Pancreatic Lesion (<2cm)



Follow-up MRI in 1 year

Serous Cystadenoma



>4 cm = resection

Indeterminate Cystic Renal Mass



Bosniak I/II - no followup necessary Bosniak IIF – 6 month followup renal CT or MRI Bosniak III/IV – treated as cancer, require surgery



Management of Incidental Solid Renal Masses:

1. General Population: If less than 1 cm, these masses may be observed until they are 1 cm or larger (i.e. CT or MR at 3-6 mo then 12 mo). Lesions larger than 1 cm should be surgically removed, however, hyperattenuating homogeneously enhancing masses less than 3 cm may warrant further characterization with MRI and/or biopsy as these may be angiomyolipomas with minimal fat.

2. Limited Life Expectancy and Comorbidities: If less than 1 cm, these masses may be observed until they are 1.5 cm or larger (i.e. CT or MR at 3-6 mo then 12 mo). Lesions 1-3 cm may be followed or surgically removed, however, hyperattenuating homogeneously enhancing masses less than 3 cm may warrant further characterization with MRI and/or biopsy as these may be angiomyolipomas with minimal fat. Lesions larger than 3 cm may be followed or surgically removed.

Source: <u>White Paper: Managing Incidental</u> <u>Findings on Abdominal CT, JACR, Oct. 2011</u>

Bosniak Criteria:

Category I: Hairline-thin wall; no septa, calcifications, or solid components; water attenuation; no enhancement.

Category II: Few hairline-thin septa with or without perceived (not measurable) enhancement; fine calcification or short segment of slightly thickened calcification in the wall or septa; homogeneously high-attenuating masses (≤ 3 cm) that are sharply marginated and do not enhance.

Category IIF: Multiple hairline-thin septa with or without perceived (not measurable) enhancement, minimal smooth thickening of wall or septa that may show perceived (not measureable) enhancement, calcification may be thick and nodular but no measurable enhancement present; no enhancing soft tissue components; intrarenal nonenhancing high-attenuation renal masses (>3 cm).

Category III: Thickened irregular or smooth walls or septa, with measurable enhancement.

Category IV: Criteria of category III, but also containing enhancing soft tissue components adjacent to or separate from the wall or septa.

BOSNIAK Category IIF



Follow-up in 6 months



Legend:

1. Low risk individuals: Young patient (\leq 40 years old), with no known malignancy, hepatic dysfunction, hepatic malignant risk factors, or symptoms attributable to the liver.

2. Average risk individuals: Patient >40 years old, with no known malignancy, hepatic dysfunction, abnormal liver function tests or hepatic malignant risk factors or symptoms attributable to the liver.

3. High risk individuals: Known primary malignancy with a propensity to metastasize to the liver, cirrhosis, and/or other hepatic risk factors. Hepatic risk factors include hepatitis, chronic active hepatitis, sclerosing cholangitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, hemochromatosis, hemosiderosis, oral contraceptive use, anabolic steroid use.

4. Follow-up CT or MRI in 6 months. May need more frequent follow-up in some situations, such as a cirrhotic patient who is a liver transplant candidate.

5. Benign imaging features: Typical hemangioma (see below), sharply marginated, homogeneous low attenuation (up to about 20 HU), no enhancement. May have sharp, but irregular margins. 6. Benign low attenuation masses: Cyst, hemangioma, hamartoma, Von Meyenberg complex (bile duct hamartomas).

7. Suspicious imaging features: Ill-defined margins, enhancement (more than about 20 HU), heterogeneous, enlargement. To evaluate, prefer multiphasic MRI.

8. Hemangioma features: Nodular discontinuous peripheral enhancement with progressive enlargement of enhancing foci on subsequent phases. Nodule isodense with vessels, not parenchyma.

9. Small robustly enhancing lesion in average risk, young patient: hemangioma, focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH), transient hepatic attenuation difference (THAD) flow artifact, and in average risk, older patient: hemangioma, THAD flow artifact. Other possible diagnoses: adenoma, arterio-venous malformation (AVM), nodular regenerative hyperplasia. Differentiation of FNH from adenoma important especially if larger than 4 cm and subcapsular.

10. Hepatocellular or common metastatic enhancing malignancy: islet cell, neuroendocrine, carcinoid, renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, choriocarcinoma, sarcoma, breast, some pancreatic lesions.

"Too small to characterize" Lesions



TSTCs in patients without a known malignancy Jones (1992) studied 1500 patients who had an abdominal CT examination:

TSTC lesions in 17% of patients In 45 pts without a known malignancy, all lesions were benign In 209 pts with a known malignancy 86 had 1 TSTC: 5% malignant 74 had 2-4 TSTCs: 19% malignant 49 with >5 TSTCs: 76% malignant.

Hypervascular Liver Lesions



Classic Peripheral nodular discontinuous progressive enhancement = hemangioma



Arterial enhancement with central scar that fades to background on delay = FNH



home

1.Exclusions: (a) normal findings, including hypodense ovary, crenulated enhancing wall of corpus luteum, asymmetric ovary (within 95% confidence interval for size) with normal shape; (b) unimportant findings, including calcifications without associated noncalcified mass; (c) previous characterization with ultrasound or MRI; and (d) documented stability in size and appearance for >2 years.

2. Cyst: should have all of the following features: (a) oval or round; (b) unilocular, with uniform fluid attenuation or signal (layering hemorrhage acceptable if premenopausal); (c) regular or imperceptible wall; (d) no solid area, mural nodule; and (e) <10 cm in maximum diameter.

3.Refers to an adnexal cyst that would otherwise meet the criteria for a benign-appearing cyst except for one or more of the following specific observations: (a) angulated margins, (b) not round or oval in shape, (c) a portion of the cyst is poorly imaged (eg, a portion of the cyst may be obscured by metal streak artifact on CT of the pelvis), and (d) the image has reduced signal- to-noise ratio, usually because of technical parameters or in some cases because the study was performed without intravenous contrast.

4. Features of masses in this category include (a) solid component, (b) mural nodule, (c) septations, (d) higher than fluid attenuation, and (e) layering hemorrhage if postmenopausal.

5. This indicates that ultrasound should be performed promptly for further evaluation, rather than in follow-up.

6.A benign-appearing cyst >5 cm with suspected internal hemorrhage in a patient aged >55 years, or within 5 years of menopause, should be followed in 6 to 12 weeks because hemorrhagic cysts in early postmenopause are possible, although rare. 7. May decrease threshold from 3 cm to lower values down to 1 cm to increase sensitivity for neoplasm.



	<u> </u>	
Normal Appearance	Follow-up*	Comments
Normal ovary appearance: Reproductive age Follicles • Thin and smooth walls • Round or oval • Anechoic • Size ≤ 3 cm • No blood flow	Not needed	Developing follicles and dominant follicle \leq 3 cm are normal findings
Normal ovary appearance: Reproductive age Corpus luteum • Diffusely thick wall • Peripheral blood flow • Size ≤ 3 cm • +/- internal echoes • +/- crenulated appearance	Not needed	Corpus luteum ≤ 3 cm is a normal finding
Normal ovary appearance: Postmenopausal • Small • Homogenous	Not needed	Normal postmenopausal ovary is atrophic without follicles
Clinically inconsequential: Postmenopausal Simple cyst ≤ 1 cm • Thin wall • Anechoic • No flow	Not needed	Small simple cysts are common; cysts ≤ 1 cm are considered clinically unimportant

Summary of recommendations for management of asymptomatic ovarian and other adnexal cysts. * = Follow-up recommendations are for US, unless otherwise indicated. ** = Some practices may choose a threshold size slightly higher than 1 cm before recommending yearly follow-up. Practices may choose to decrease the frequency of follow-up once stability or decrease in size has been confirmed. (*Figure continues.*)



Cysts with benign characteristics		Follow-up*	Comments
Simple cysts (includes ovarian and extraovarian cysts) • Round or oval		Reproductive age: ≤ 5 cm: Not needed $> 5 \& \leq 7$ cm: Yearly	Simple cysts, regardless of age of patient, are almost certainly benign
 Anechoic Smooth, thin walls No solid component or septation Posterior acoustic enhancement No internal flow 		Postmenopausal (PM): > $1 \& \le 7 \text{ cm}$: Yearly** Any age: > 7 cm: Further imaging (e.g., MRI) or surgical evaluation	For cysts ≤ 3 cm in women of reproductive age, it is at discretion of interpreting physician whether to describe them in imaging report
 Hemorrhagic cyst Reticular pattern of internal echoes +/- Solid appearing area with concave margins No internal flow 		Reproductive age: ≤ 5 cm: Not needed > 5 cm: 6-12 week follow-up to ensure resolution Early PM: Any size: Follow-up to ensure resolution Late PM: Consider surgical evaluation	Use Doppler to ensure no solid elements For cysts ≤ 3 cm in women of reproductive age, it is at the discretion of interpreting physician whether to describe them in imaging report
 Endometrioma Homogeneous low level internal echoes No solid component +/- Tiny echogenic foci in wall 		Any age: Initial follow-up 6-12 weeks, then if not surgically removed, follow-up yearly	
 Dermoid Focal or diffuse hyperechoic component Hyperechoic lines and dots Area of acoustic shadowing No internal flow 		Any age: If not surgically removed, follow-up yearly to ensure stability	
 Hydrosalpinx Tubular shaped cystic mass +/- Short round projections "beads on a string" +/- Waist sign (i.e. indentations on opposite sides). +/- Seen separate from the ovary 		Any age: As clinically indicated	
 Peritoneal inclusion cyst Follow the contour of adjacent pelvic organs Ovary at the edge of the mass or suspended within the mass +/- Septations 	6.5 100 400 6	Any age: As clinically indicated	

Cysts with indeterminate, but	probably benign, characteristics	Follow-up*	Comments
Findings suggestive of, but not classic for, hemorrhagic cyst, endometrioma or dermoid		Reproductive age: 6-12 week follow-up to ensure resolution. If the lesion is unchanged, then hemorrhagic cyst is unlikely, and continued follow-up with either ultrasound or MRI should then be considered. If these studies do not confirm an endometrioma or dermoid, then surgical evaluation should be considered. Postmenopausal: Consider surgical evaluation	
Thin-walled cyst with single thin septation or focal calcification in the wall of a cyst		Follow-up based on size and menopausal status, same as simple cyst described above	
Multiple thin septations (< 3 mm)		Consider surgical evaluation	Multiple septations suggest a neoplasm, but if thin, the neoplasm is likely benign
Nodule (non-hyperechoic) without flow		Consider surgical evaluation or MRI	Solid nodule suggests neoplasm, but if no flow (and not echogenic as would be seen in a dermoid) this is likely a benign lesion such as a cystadenofibroma
Cysts with characteristics wor	risome for malignancy	Follow-up*	Comments
Thick (> 3 mm) irregular septations		Any age: Consider surgical evaluation	
Nodule with blood flow		Any age: Consider surgical evaluation	

Source: Levine et. al. Management of Asymptomatic Ovarian and Other Adnexal Cysts Imaged at US, Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Statement, Ultrasound Quarterly 2010;26:121-131.



Management of Thyroid Nodules

detected by ultrasound.

Ultrasound Feature	Recommendation
Microcalcifications	Strongly consider US-guided FNA if ≥ 1.0 cm
Solid (or almost entirely solid) and/or coarse calcifications.	Strongly consider US-guided FNA if ≥ 1.5 cm
Mixed solid and cystic, or almost entirely cystic with solid mural component.	Consider US-guided FNA if ≥ 2.0 cm
Substantial growth since prior US exam.	Consider US-guided FNA
Almost entirely cystic and none of the above and no substantial growth (or no prior US)	US-guided FNA probably unnecessary
Multiple nodules	Consider US-guided FNA of one or more nodules, with selection prioritized on basis of criteria (in order listed) for solitary nodule

Note: Use largest measurement for size. FNA is likely unnecessary in a diffusely enlarged gland with multiple nodules of similar US appearance *without* intervening normal parenchyma. Presence of abnormal lymph nodes overrides US features of thyroid nodule(s) and should prompt US-guided FNA or biopsy of lymph node and/or ipsilateral nodule.

Ultrasound Features Associated with Thyroid Cancer	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)
Microcalcifications	26 - 59	86 - 95	24 - 71	42 - 94
Hypoechoic	27 - 87	43 - 94	11 - 68	74 - 94
Irregular margins or no halo	17 - 78	39 - 85	9 - 60	39 - 98
Solid	69 - 75	53 - 56	16 - 27	88 - 92
Intranodule vascularity	54 - 74	79 - 81	24 - 42	86 - 97
More tall than wide	33	93	67	75

Note: Combining these factors improves the positive predictive value of US. For example, a predominantly solid nodule with microcalcifications has a 31.6% likelihood of being cancer, as compared to a predominantly cystic nodule with no calcification, which has a 1.0% likelihood of being cancer.

Thyroid Microcalcifications



newly detected incidentally at nonscreening CT in persons 35 or older.

Fleischner Society 2017 Guidelines for Management of Incidentally Detected Pulmonary Nodules in Adults

A: Solid Nodul	A: Solid Nodules*			
		Size		
Nodule Type	<6 mm (<100 mm ³)	6–8 mm (100–250 mm ³)	>8 mm (>250 mm³)	Comments
Single				
Low risk†	No routine follow-up	CT at 6–12 months, then consider CT at 18–24 months	Consider CT at 3 months, PET/CT, or tissue sampling	Nodules <6 mm do not require routine follow-up, but certain patients at high risk with suspicious nodule morphology, upper lobe location, or both may warrant 12-month follow-up (recommendation 1A).
High risk [†]	Optional CT at 12 months	CT at 6–12 months, then CT at 18–24 months	Consider CT at 3 months, PET/CT, or tissue sampling	Nodules <6 mm do not require routine follow-up, but certain patients at high risk with suspicious nodule morphology, upper lobe location, or both may warrant 12-month follow-up (recommendation 1A).
Multiple				
Low risk [†]	No routine follow-up	CT at 3–6 months, then consider CT at 18–24 months	CT at 3–6 months, then consider CT at 18–24 months	Use most suspicious nodule as guide to management. Follow-up intervals may vary according to size and risk (recommendation 2A).
High risk [†]	Optional CT at 12 months	CT at 3–6 months, then at 18–24 months	CT at 3–6 months, then at 18–24 months	Use most suspicious nodule as guide to management. Follow-up intervals may vary according to size and risk (recommendation 2A).

† Low risk is defined as:

Minimal or absent history of smoking or other known risk factors.

‡ High risk is defined as one or more of the following:

- \geq 20 pack-year history of smoking, or equivalent second-hand exposure.
- Personal history of cancer or family history of lung cancer.
- Occupational exposure (asbestos, beryllium, silica, uranium, radon).
- Chronic interstitial/fibrotic lung disease.

§ Low risk patient with ≤ 4 mm nodule:

The risk of malignancy in this category (1%) is substantially less than that in a baseline CT scan of an asymptomatic smoker.

Young Patients: Primary lung cancer is rare in persons under 35 years of age (1% of all cases), and the risks from radiation exposure are greater than in the older population. Therefore, unless there is a known primary cancer, multiple follow-up CT studies for small incidentally detected nodules should be avoided in young pa- tients. In such cases, a single low-dose follow-up CT scan in 6–12 months should be considered.

Caution:

1.Fever: In certain clinical settings, such as a patient presenting with neutropenic fever, the presence of a nodule may indicate active infection, and short-term imaging follow-up or intervention may be appropriate.

2. Cancer: Guidelines may not apply for individuals with known or suspected malignant disease.



Subsolid Pulmonary Nodules

Fleischner Society Recommendations for Subsolid Nodules Found on CT

The development of a standardized approach to the interpretation and management of subsolid nodules remains critically important given that peripheral adenocarcinomas represent the most common type of lung cancer, with evidence of increasing frequency.

B: Subsolid Nodu	B: Subsolid Nodules*			
		Size		
Nodule Type	<6 mm (<100 mm³)	≥6 mm (>100 mm³)	Comments	
Single				
Ground glass	No routine follow-up	CT at 6–12 months to confirm persistence, then CT every 2 years until 5 years	In certain suspicious nodules < 6 mm, consider follow-up at 2 and 4 years. If solid component(s) or growth develops, consider resection. (Recommendations 3A and 4A).	
Part solid	No routine follow-up	CT at 3–6 months to confirm persistence. If unchanged and solid component remains <6 mm, annual CT should be performed for 5 years.	In practice, part-solid nodules cannot be defined as such until ≥6 mm, and nodules <6 mm do not usually require follow-up. Persistent part-solid nodules with solid components ≥6 mm should be considered highly suspicious (recommendations 4A-4C)	
Multiple	CT at 3–6 months. If stable, consider CT at 2 and 4 years.	CT at 3–6 months. Subsequent management based on the most suspicious nodule(s).	Multiple <6 mm pure ground-glass nodules are usually benign, but consider follow-up in selected patients at high risk at 2 and 4 years (recommendation 5A).	

Note: These guidelines assume meticulous evaluation, optimally with contiguous thin sections (1 mm) reconstructed with narrow and/or mediastinal windows to evaluate the solid component and wide and/or lung windows to evaluate the nonsolid component of nodules, if indicated. When electronic calipers are used, bidimensional measurements of both the solid and ground-glass components of lesions should be obtained as necessary. With serial scans, always compare with the original baseline study to detect subtle indolent growth. The use of a consistent low-dose technique is recommended, especially in cases for which prolonged follow-up is recommended, particularly in younger patients. **See example:**



Figure 4: Value of initial short-term follow-up of malignant GGNs. Consecutive 1-mm-thick sections through right lower lobe section obtained at same anatomic level over a 6-month period (*A*, baseline; *B*, 3 months; *C*, 6 months) show rapid transformation of initial pure GGN (arrow in *A*) to a predominantly part-solid lesion (arrow in *B* and *C*), which subsequently proved to be mucinous adencarcinoma.

Source: Naidich et al. Recommendations for the Management of Subsolid Pulmonary Nodules Detected at CT: A Statement from the Fleischner Society. Radiology, January 2013.



And ectatic abdominal aortas - recommended intervals for initial follow-up imaging

Aortic Diameter (cm)	Imaging Interval
2.5 - 2.9 cm	5 years (defined as ectatic)
3.0 - 3.4 cm	3 years
3.5 - 3.9 cm	2 years
4.0 - 4.4 cm	1 year
4.5 - 4.9 cm	6 months - also consider surgical or endovascular referral.
5.0 - 5.5 cm	3-6 months - also consider surgical or endovascular referral.

Note: An abdominal aorta ≥ 1.5 times the normal diameter or ≥ 3.0 cm or is defined as aneurysmal. For abdominal aortic diameters < 2.5 cm, follow-up is generally thought to be unnecessary. Because the rupture of smaller abdominal aortic aneurysms is less likely, we recommend longer intervals between follow-up examinations. Follow-up intervals may vary depending on comorbidities and the growth rate of the aneurysm.

Iliac Artery Aneurysms

Recommended intervals for initial follow-up imaging of common/internal/external iliac artery aneurysms

Aneurysm Diameter (cm)	Imaging Interval
< 3.0 cm	No explicit recommendation is made*
3.0 - 3.5 cm	6-month follow-up cross-sectional imaging
> 3.5 cm	Close follow-up or expeditious treatment

*The white paper says, "Aneurysms that are < 3.0 cm in diameter tend to be asymptomatic, rarely rupture, and expand slowly" and no recommendation is made. Simply describe the finding.

Note: Iliac artery aneurysm is defined as a diameter > 1.5 times normal, or ≥ 2.5 cm in diameter.

Penetrating Aortic Ulcers

Recommendations for follow-up imaging in asymptomatic patients

Annual follow-up is recommended when asymptomatic, and more frequently if symptoms arise, with consideration of surgical/endovascular intervention.

Note: Lack of symptoms does not necessarily imply stability. Studies have shown that the natural history of penetrating aortic ulcers (PAU) is variable and unpredictable. A PAU (which represents disruption of atheroscle-rotic plaque with penetration of luminal blood for variable distances into or through the aortic wall) may progress to an intramural hematoma, focal dissection, or pseudoaneurysm/rupture, or it may completely resolve.

Source: <u>White Paper: Managing Incidental Findings on Abdominal/Pelvic CT and MRI, Part 2: Vascular</u> <u>Findings, JACR, October 2013</u>



Splenic Artery Aneurysms

Recommended intervals for initial follow-up imaging

Aneurysm Diameter (cm)	Imaging Interval
< 2.0 cm	Yearly follow-up is recommended*
≥ 2.0 cm	Consider endovascular treatment

*Clinical risk factors for rupture should be carefully assessed (such as attributable symptoms, a woman of childbearing years, and cirrhosis, especially when associated with alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency).

Note: Aneurysms showing rapid increase in size should be treated. Surveillance intervals greater than 1 year may be reasonable in patients with comorbidities and/or limited life expectancy.

Renal Artery Aneurysms

Recommended intervals for initial follow-up imaging

Aneurysm Diameter (cm)	Imaging Interval
1.0 - 1.5 cm	1-2 year follow-up imaging
> 1.5 to 2.0 cm	Consider surgical or endovascular treatment

Note: Consider the alternate diagnosis of a pseudoaneurym due to trauma. Evaluate for evidence of fibromuscu- lar dysplasia, particularly in younger women. The decision to treat a renal artery aneurysm should be based on factors including patient age, gender, presence of hypertension, and aneurysm location and size.

Vascular recommendations continued on next page...



Other Abdominal Vascular Findings

Pancreaticoduodenal aneurysms are felt to be at higher risk for rupture, and some authors recommend that all of these aneurysms undergo surgical or endovascular treatment regardless of size. If a decision is made to observe rather than treat, repeat scanning at annual intervals is recommended.

Treatment is generally recommended for **aneurysms** >2 cm in diameter; possibly with a smaller threshold for nonatherosclerotic aneurysms. For **hepatic aneurysms**, Abbas et al established that multiplicity and nonatherosclerotic origin were linked to increased rupture rate.

Researchers have found that **isolated visceral arterial dissections** (typically the SMA) can be followed rather than treated promptly when asymptomatic.

Atherosclerotic stenosis commonly affects the celiac, SMA, and IMA. As long as this remains well compensated by collateral vessels and is not symptomatic with postprandial abdominal pain or weight loss, no further evaluation or follow-up is recommended.

The prevalence of **abominal venous thrombosis** on CT was 1.74% in a series of 2619 patients. How to further evaluate venous thrombosis depends on location and the local availability and expertise for particular techniques.

Although incompetence of the ovarian and draining pelvic veins (and resultant venous reflux) are considered the main cause of **pelvic congestion syndrome**, dilated pelvic veins are often seen incidentally in asymptomatic multiparous women. No further imaging or intervention is recommended in asymptomatic women with incidentally discovered dilated pelvic veins.

Both cadaveric and retrospective CT studies from asymptomatic patients suggest that compression of the left common iliac vein by the anteriorly crossing right common iliac artery (an anatomic variant known as **May-Thurner or iliocaval compression syndrome**) is present in approximately 25% of the population, indicating that most patients with compression are not symptomatic, and follow-up is not necessary unless the patient develops unilateral symptoms of leg swelling or thrombosis. Similarly, compression of the left renal vein between the aorta and superior mesenteric artery with localized varices, known as **nutcracker syndrome**, is an occasional asymptomatic incidental finding.





* **Benign Features:** normal short-axis diameter (<1cm in retroperitoneum), normal architecture (elongated, fatty hilum), normal enhancement, normal node number.

† **Suspicious Features:** enlarged short-axis diameter (≥1 cm in retroperitoneum), architectural distortion (round, indistinct hilum), enhancement (necrosis/hypervascular), increased number (cluster of ≥3 lymph nodes in a single nodal station or cluster of ≥2 lymph nodes in ≥2 regions).

‡ Non-neoplastic Disease: e.g. infection, inflammation, connective tissue disorders.

§ Other Evaluation: PET/CT, MIBG, endoscopic ultrasound.

Suspicious Retroperitoneal Node



Testicular Cancer Metastasis



*Cyst: imperceptible wall, near-water attenuation (<10 HU), no enhancement.

***Hemangioma:** discontinuous, peripheral, progressively centripetal enhancement (these findings are less common in the spleen compared to the liver).

‡ Benign features: homogeneous low attenuation (<20 HU), no enhancement, smooth margins.

¶ Indeterminate features: heterogeneous, intermediate attenuation (>20 HU), enhancement, smooth margins.

|| **Suspicious features:** heterogeneous, enhancement, irregular margins, necrosis, splenic parenchymal or vascular invasion, substantial enlargement.

Follow-up: MRI in 6-12 months.

§ Evaluate: PET vs. MRI vs. biopsy.

Suspicious Splenic Lesions



GI primary with metastases to spleen

Pituitary Adenoma



Endocrine Society practice guidelines recommend:

- Macroadenoma f/u MRI at 6 months, then "progressively less frequently" if stable
- Microadenoma f/u MRI at 1 year, then "progressively less frequently" if stable

Meningioma



British Medical Society practice guidelines recommend:

 Follow-up yearly with contrast enhanced MRI for all meningiomas



Incidental Gallbladder and Bile Duct Findings

Summary of Diagnosis and Management in Asymptomatic Patients evaluated by CT or MRI

Finding	Finding/Diagnosis	Action
Gallstones, no mass	Gallstones	If symptomatic, ultrasound
Gallbladder wall calcification, no mass	Focal or diffuse (porcelain gallbladder)	No follow-up recommended; if followed, use post- contrast CT
Dense gallbladder contents (20-100 HU)	Sludge, excreted contrast, hemorrhage, gallstones	No evaluation or follow-up recommended specifically for this finding
Diffuse gallbladder wall thickening > 3mm, no mass	Hepatitis, CHF, liver disease, pancreatitis, hypoproteinemia	No evaluation or follow-up recommended specifically for this finding
Focal gallbladder wall thickening or mass	Polyp, gallbladder cancer, cholesterolosis, adenomyomatosis, xanthogranulomatous cholecystitis	Evaluation and follow-up depends on mass size, other clinical factors; ultrasound may show specific features for adenomyomatosis (i.e. "comet-tail" artifact)
Gallbladder polyp ≤ 6 mm	Benign polyp	No evaluation or follow-up recommended
Gallbladder polyp 7-9 mm	Benign polyp, adenoma, or small cancer	Follow yearly with ultrasound; surgical consult if polyp grows
Gallbladder polyp ≥ 10 mm, mass	Benign polyp, adenoma, or small cancer	Surgical consult
Pericholecystic fluid	Gallbladder perforation, other collection	Individual assessment
Distended gallbladder (> 4cm transverse, > 9cm long)	Fasting, obstruction	If asymptomatic, no evaluation
Ductal dilation > 6 mm, or > 10 mm if gallbladder absent	Obstruction, post-cholecystectomy	If lab results normal, no evaluation; if abnormal, ERCP, EUS, or MRCP as appropriate.

Notes:

1.Porcelain Gallbladder: Large retrospective studies have shown that the prevalence of malignancy in resected porcelain gallbladders is 5-7% compared to 0.6 - 0.8% in the general population. Incidence of new cancer in a porcelain gallblad- der is likely to be < 1% per year (inferred from available data); only a small fraction of this would likely be detected and treated differently if yearly follow-up were done. Therefore, the committee generally does not recommend follow-up.
2.Diffuse gallbladder wall thickening: In the absence of one of the above-mentioned secondary causes, a primary cause should be excluded by clinical history. If the thickening is uniform or nearly so, the risk for an underlying malignancy is negligible.

3.Polyps: Evidence for their management is inconclusive and based on ultrasound; the authors infer that this data is also applicable to CT and MRI. One study of 346 patients with gallbladder polyps found no malignancies and only one polyp 7-9 mm in size and two polyps > 10mm. Another study of 467 patients found that only 6.6% of polyps grew, and 3.7% were malignant or had malignant potential, including benign adenomatous and dysplastic potential. Only 0.7% were frankly malignant. The authors recommended follow-up for polyps 5-10 mm in size.

4.Biliary duct dilatation: Defined as > 6 mm in a patient < 60 years of age with the gallbladder present, or a common bile duct > 10 mm with the gallbladder absent. Because biliary dilatation is often chronic and asymptomatic, liver function tests (alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin) can help assess the importance of this finding. If there is suspicion of a biliary tract mass, MRCP may be performed. However, if the suspected mass is in the lower third of the common bile duct, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) or ERCP-guided FNA may be preferred as the first option.

Incidental Gallbladder Polyp



8 mm – follow-up ultrasound when ???

Recap

1) Management of incidental findings can be confusing and always changing, if you aren't sure when to follow-up call: x7340

2) No need to follow pulmonary nodules <6 mm (new for 2017)

3) Follow-up recommended for ovarian cysts >3 cm postmenopausal and 5 cm premenopausal

Thank You!!

WOLD FAMILY CENTER FOR EMERGENCY MEDICINE

Cell 843-576-9792 x3640

ajlewis@bocaradiology.com



Management of Adnexal Lesions

newly detected incidentally on US in asymptomatic* nonpregnant females.

Cyst Size	Reproductive Age Female
≤ 3 cm †	No follow-up. Normal physiology. At your discretion, may not need to be described in the report.
> 3 to 5 cm	No follow-up. Describe in report and include "almost certainly benign".
> 5 to 7 cm	Yearly follow-up. Describe in report and include "almost certainly benign".
> 7 cm	Further evaluation with MR or surgery should be considered since these may be difficult to assess completely with US.
Cyst Size	Postmenopausal Female †
≤ 1 cm	No follow-up. Clinically inconsequential. At your discretion, may not need to be described in the report.
> 1 to 7 cm	Describe and include "almost certainly benign" and recommend yearly follow-up, at least initially, with US.
>7 cm	Further imaging with MR or surgery.

* These recommendations may be helpful in **symptomatic** women as well, but the clinical setting will often determine management in a manner beyond the scope of these recommendations.

† Size: Use the maximum diameter.

•Simple Cyst: A simple cyst is round or oval, anechoic, smooth thin walls, posterior acoustic enhancement, no solid component or septation, and no internal flow. The entire cyst must be visualized. Assess all cysts with color/ power Dopper. The rare cyst that turns out to be malignant is usually large (> 7 cm) and the cyst wall was presumably incompletely imaged, with a missed small mural nodule. Over 99% of simple cysts up to 10cm in a patient of any age are benign, either non-neoplastic (physiologic, paraovarian, or paratubal) or benign neoplastic cysts (including serous and mucinous cystadenomas).

Follow-up recommendations for a **hemorrhagic cyst, endometrioma, dermoid, indeterminate lesion, and other lesions**, as well as simple cysts, are presented with example images in the tables on the following three pages.

Length of follow-up: No consensus was reached regarding how long a lesion must be followed to demonstrate its stability. Cystic ovarian neoplasms generally grow very slowly.

Source: Levine et. al. Management of Asymptomatic Ovarian and Other Adnexal Cysts Imaged at US, Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Statement, Ultrasound Quarterly 2010;26:121-131.