# **'ABCDEF' Approach for CVD Prevention:** Focus on Aspirin

Roger S. Blumenthal, MD The Kenneth J. Pollin Professor of Cardiology Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease (No Disclosures)





ACC/AHA/ACP-ASIM Guidelines for Management of Stable Angina

A spirin and anti-anginals

Beta blocker and blood pressure

Cholesterol and cigarettes

Diet and diabetes

Education and exercise

Raymond J Gibbons, et al.

Circulation. 1999;99:2829-2848



Copyright © American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

## "ABCs" of CVD Prevention & Management



**Assessment of Risk Antiplatelet/Anticoagulant Rx Blood pressure Cholesterol Cigarette Cessation Diabetes/Glucose Management Diet/Weight Exercise/Education** 

#### ScientificSessions.org



# 2019 ACC/AHA CVD Prevention Guideline Writing Committee



• Routine use of an 'ABCDEF' approach for patient management can help keep track of latest prevention-related guidelines.

# Donna K. Arnett, PhD, MSPH, FAHA, *Co-Chair* Roger S. Blumenthal, MD, FACC, FAHA, *Co-Chair*

Michelle A. Albert, MD, MPH Andrew B. Buroker, Esq<sup>†</sup> Zachary D. Goldberger, MD Ellen J. Hahn, PhD, RN\* **Cheryl D. Himmelfarb**, PhD, RN, Amit Khera, MD, MSc, **Donald Lloyd-Jones, MD**, **J. William McEvoy**, MBBCh, MEd,

Erin D. Michos, MD, MHS Michael D. Miedema, MD, Daniel Muñoz, MD, MPA, Sidney C. Smith, Jr, MD, MACC Salim S. Virani, MD, PhD Kim A. Williams, Sr, MD Joseph Yeboah, MD, MS, Boback Ziaeian, MD, PhD

## **Assessment of CVD Risk**



### **Shared Decision Making**



### **Team-Based Approach to Prevention**



# **Social Determinants of Health**



- Socioeconomic factors: limit effectiveness of recommendations
- Socioeconomic disadvantages: not captured by existing CVD risk estimators
- Medicare/Medicaid developed 5 domain screening tool:



## **Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk**



ASSESSMENT						
COR	LOE	Recommendations				
I	B-NR	1. For adults 40-75 y/o, clinicians should routinely assess traditional CVD risk factors & calculate 10-yr risk of ASCVD by using pooled cohort equations (PCE).				
lla	B-NR	2. For 20-39 y/o, it is reasonable to assess traditional ASCVD risk factors at least every 4 - 6 yrs.				
lla	B-NR	3. If borderline risk (5% to <7.5% 10-yr ASCVD risk) or intermediate risk (≥7.5% to <20%), it is reasonable to use additional risk-enhancing factors to guide decisions about preventive interventions (e.g. statin Rx)				



## **Toolbox for Estimating ASCVD Risk**



## **Risk-Enhancing Factors**



- <u>When</u> to use?
  - -Uncertainty of PCE estimate
  - –Or If further risk stratification needed
- <u>Whom</u> to use in?
  - -Borderline (5% to <7.5%) or
  - –Intermediate (≥7.5% to <20%) 10yr ASCVD risk

#### Table. ASCVD risk enhancers

- Family history of premature ASCVD
- Primary hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C <a>160)</a>
- Chronic kidney disease
- Metabolic syndrome
- Conditions specific to women (e.g. preeclampsia, premature menopause)
- Chronic inflammatory conditions (especially rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, HIV)
- High risk race/ethnicity (e.g. south Asian ancestry)

#### Lipid/Biomarkers:

• Persistently elevated triglycerides (≥175 mg/dL)

#### In selected individuals if measured:

- hsCRP ≥2 mg/L
- Lp(a) levels  $\geq$ 50 mg/dL or  $\geq$ 125 nmol/L
- ApoB levels ≥130 mg/dL
- Ankle-brachial index <0.9

# Presence of CAC in Those With REFs From MESA



**IOHNS HOPKINS** 

## **Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk**



ASSESSMENT							
COR	LOE	Recommendations					
lla	B-NR	4. In adults at <u>intermediate</u> risk (≥7.5% to <20% 10-yr ASCVD risk) or selected adults at borderline risk (5% to <7.5%), if risk-based decisions for preventive interventions (e.g., statin Rx) remain uncertain, it is reasonable to measure a <u>coronary artery calcium score</u> to guide risk discussion.					
llb	B-NR	<ol> <li>For adults 20-39 y/o and for those 40-59 y/o who have</li> <li>&lt;7.5% 10-yr risk, estimating lifetime or 30-yr risk may be considered.</li> </ol>					

# **Risk Reclassification for Primary Prevention**



# **Risk Reclassification for Primary Prevention**



# Aspirin



<u>A</u> SPIRIN							
COR	LOE	Recommendations					
llb	A	<ol> <li>Low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg orally daily) might be considered for primary prevention of ASCVD among select adults 40-70 y/o at higher ASCVD risk but not at increased bleeding risk.</li> </ol>					
III: Harm	B-R	<ol> <li>Low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg orally daily) should not be administered on <u>routine</u> basis for primary prevention among adults &gt;70 y/o.</li> </ol>					
III: Harm	C-LD	3. Low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg orally daily) should not be administered for primary prevention among adults at increased risk of bleeding.					

## **Targets for Oral Antiplatelet Rx**





### **ASPIRIN** –

Irreversible Inhibitor of COX-1 which halts production of Thromboxane A2 and thus platelet aggregation

Bonaca MP, Creager MA. Circ Res. 2015;116:1579-1598.



### Aspirin for Major CV Events (MACE): SECONDARY PREVENTION



### SECONDARY PREVENTION – 27% RRR in MACE

	No of	MI, STROKE OR VASCULAR DEATH		STRATIFIED STATISTICS		OR and CI (Antiplatelet :	% odds
Category of trial	trials with data	Anti- platelet	Adjusted controls	0–E variance		Control	reduction (SD)
ALL HIGH RISK**	142	4183/36,536 (14.7%)	5400/36,711	-568.8	1810.9		27% (2)
ALL LOW RISK (primary prevention	3 n)	652/14,608 (4.46%)	708/14,504 (4.85%)	-28.5	273.5	$\diamond$	10% (6)
ALL TRIALS (high or low risk)	145	4835/51,144 (9.5%)	6108/51,315 (11.9%)	-597.3	2084.4	0.5 1.0 1.5	25% (2)
						Antiplatelet Antiplate therapy therapy better worse	ilet Y
** All high risk: Prior MI, acute MI, prior stroke/TIA, other high risk						Treatment effect 2P<0.0000	1

Antiplatelet Trialists Collaboration. BMJ. 1994;308:81-106.



# Role of aspirin in primary prevention



 Complication rates (bleeding) comparable



**Bleeding Risk** 



# Aspirin Use in Primary Prevention in U.S.



From: Prevalence of Aspirin Use for Primary Prevention of CVD in the US: 2017 National Health Interview Survey

	Aspirin Use %	Estimated US Population using Aspirin
Women	21.8%	14.5 Million
Men	25.5%	14.5 Million
Age		
40-49 y	7.0%	2.6 Million
50-59 y	18.4%	6.7 Million
60-69 y	34.7%	10.2 Million
70-79 y	44.6%	6.5 Million
≥80 y	46.2%	3.05 Million

O'Brien CW et al. Ann Intern Med. Published online July 23, 2019. doi:10.7326/M19-0953



# Aspirin for Primary Prevention of CVD

Copyright 2001 by Randy Glasbergen. www.glasbergen.com



"An aspirin a day will help prevent a heart attack if you have it for lunch instead of a cheeseburger."



# Aspirin for Primary Prevention of CVD

 Based on older trials, prior US guidelines had recommended low dose aspirin for primary ASCVD prevention only in setting of elevated 10-yr CVD risk

### **Prior AHA/ACC Aspirin Recommendations ('97 and '02)**

#### **Primary Prevention**



Aspirin (75-162 mg daily) should be used in adults at intermediate risk (10-year risk of CHD <u>></u>10%)

CHD=Coronary heart disease Source: Pearson TA et al. *Circulation* 2002;106:388-391 Grundy SM et al. *Circulation* 1997; 95: 2329–2331



# Aspirin for Primary Prevention of CVD



#### What data are the prior recommendations based on?



Source: Ridker P et al. NEJM 2005;352:1293-1304

# Aspirin for Primary Prevention of ASCVD: 2014 Meta-analysis





Xie M et al. PLoS ONE 2014; 9(10): e90286

♦ Major Bleeding – 55% ↑
RR 1.55 (1.35, 1.78)

NNT to prevent 1 major ASCVD event over a mean f/u of 6.8 years = 284.
NNH to cause 1 major bleeding = 299

NNT = number needed to treat; NNH = number need to harm

# 2014 – the Japanese Primary Prevention Project (JPPP)







# **JPPP Primary endpoint:**



death from CV causes, nonfatal stroke and nonfatal MI





### **ARRIVE:** Aspirin in Primary Prevention



- Enrolled **12,546 patients** followed for **mean of 60 months**
- Adults >55 y/o (men) or >60 y/o (women) with moderate estimated CV risk (10-yr ASCVD risk 17.4%)
- However, observed event rates were lower (<10% 10years)
  - - Thus, population was low to moderate risk
- Excluded patients at high risk of bleeding or diabetes
- Randomized enteric-coated aspirin (100 mg) or placebo daily



# **ARRIVE:** Primary Outcome Intention to Treat



Time to First Occurrence of CV Death, MI, UA, Stroke or TIA (Intent-to-Treat population)



Gaziano JM et al. The Lancet. 2018; 392



# **ARRIVE: Bleeding** Intention to Treat



Gastrointestinal Bleeding Adjudication	Placebo Arm (n=6	276) Aspirin /	Aspirin Arm (n=6270)			
Time to First GI Bleeding						
Patients with events, n (%)	29 (0.46%)	61	(0.97%)			
Hazard Ratio (95% CI)*		2.11 [1.36;3.28]				
p-Value*		0.0007	-			
Severity of adjudicated first GI Bleeding						
Mild, n (%)	22 (0.35%)	42 (0.67%)				
Moderate, n (%)	5 (0.08%)	15 (0.24%)				
Severe, n (%)	2 (0.03%)	4 (0.06%)				

\*Comparison: Aspirin vs Placebo; p-Value from log-rank test of time to first event

Note: Percentages based on number of subjects randomized to the indicated treatment group

#### Gaziano JM et al. The Lancet. 2018; 392



# **ASPREE:** Aspirin in Primary Prevention in Older Adults



- 19,114 participants excluded those with CVD, dementia, disability - followed for mean of 4.7 yrs
- Randomized to EC aspirin 100 mg daily vs. placebo
- 50% were age  $\geq$ 74 years, 56% women
- primary end point was a composite of death, dementia, or persistent physical disability

McNeil JJ et al. N Engl J Med 2018;379



### **ASPREE: Death**, **Dementia**, **Disability**



Aspirin

Placebo

4016

4077

1495

1476

Cancer Deaths

All Deaths

HR 1.14 (1.01-1.29)



No benefit on Dementia or Persistent Physical Disability

McNeil JJ et al. N Engl J Med 2018;379









Low dose ASA for primary prevention among pts with Type 2 diabetes: 2008 JPAD RCT





Ogawa H et al. JAMA 2008 (300) 18; 2134-2141



# **POPADAD:** Asymptomatic "PAD" & diabetes: ASA ineffective



•1276 adults age >40 with diabetes and ABI <0.99, but no clinical CVD

•RCT of ASA 100 mg/d vs. placebo ±antioxidant in 2 x 2 factorial design

•Median follow-up 6.7 yrs

POPADAD Belch J et al. BMJ 2008



# **ASCEND:** Aspirin in Primary Prevention in DM



- Adults with diabetes, but no CVD
  - 15,480 participants followed for mean of 7.4 yrs
- Randomized to aspirin 100 mg daily vs. placebo
- Mean age 63 years, 38% women
- Primary outcome major vascular event (MI, stroke/TIA, vascular death)

Bowman L et al. ASCEND Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 2018;379:1529-39.



## ASCEND **Primary Outcome**

#### **BENEFIT: Vascular Events**

Aspirin group [8.5%] vs. Placebo group [9.6%]





#### Bowman L et al. ASCEND Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 2018;379:1529-39.







### Effect of aspirin on major BLEED

**ASCEND**:



Rate Ratio 1.29 (1.09-1.52)

Bowman L et al. ASCEND Collaborative Group. N Engl J Med 2018;379:1529-39.



## 2019 ACC/AHA Primary Prevention Guidelines



#### **Can I use a 10-year ASCVD risk estimate for aspirin?**

- In recent cohort studies/trials, estimated ASCVD risk has exceeded actual risk observed during follow-up.
- In addition, ASCVD risk generally tracks with bleeding risk.
- The committee felt there was **insufficient evidence** to recommend a specific PCE risk threshold as an inclusion criterion for aspirin.
- Instead clinicians should consider the totality of evidence for ASCVD risk [inclusive, where appropriate, of risk-enhancing factors, such as strong family history of premature MI, inability to achieve lipid or BP or glucose targets, or significant elevation in coronary artery calcium score] & to also tailor decisions about prophylactic aspirin to patient and clinician preferences.


# **2019 ACC/AHA Primary Prevention Guidelines**

- A non-exhaustive list of scenarios associated with increased risk of bleeding includes;
  - a history of previous GI bleeding or peptic ulcer disease or bleeding from other sites,
  - age >70 years,
  - thrombocytopenia, coagulopathy,
  - chronic kidney disease,
  - or concurrent use of other medications that increase bleeding risk such as NSAIDs, steroids, DOACs, or warfarin.





## **Role of Aspirin in Primary Prevention in Modern Era:**



- Three recent large-scale primary prevention trials suggest aspirin may do more harm than good. Why?
- Compared to prior decades, in modern preventive practice:
  - Less smoking
  - Increased utilization of statins/aggressive lipid lowering
  - Better BP control
- Percent taking statin Rx in ASPREE, ARRIVE, & ASCEND was 34%, 43%, and 75%, respectively.
- Aspirin may reduce incidence of colorectal cancers (but cancer reduction not seen in ASCEND or ASPREE)



#### **Aspirin for Cancer Prevention**



Hazard Ratio (95% Crl)

#### Figure 3. Exploratory Cancer Outcomes

		Aspirin		No Aspi	rin	Absolute Risk			
Efficacy	No. of Studies	No. of Events	No. of Participants	No. of Events	No. of Participants	Difference, % (95% CI)	HR (95% Crl)	Favors Favors No Aspirin Aspirin	<b> </b> <sup>2</sup>
All participants									
Incident cancer	10	4507	63048	4409	61475	0.03 (-0.37 to 0.46)	1.01 (0.93-1.08)		14
Cancer mortality	12	1530	75353	1447	73781	0.05 (-0.11 to 0.23)	1.03 (0.96-1.11)		17
Low CV risk participants									
Incident cancer	4	2837	38905	2730	39044	0.41 (-0.13 to 1.01)	1.06 (0.95-1.24)		18
Cancer mortality	5	823	49942	748	50078	0.16 (-0.06 to 0.42)	1.11 (0.93-1.33)		5
High CV risk participants									
Incident cancer	6	1670	24143	1679	22431	-0.30 (-0.76 to 0.19)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)		3
Cancer mortality	7	707	25411	699	23703	-0.13 (-0.41 to 0.17)	0.96 (0.86-1.06)		0
Participants with diabetes	;								
Incident cancer	3	1091	9640	1116	9655	-0.68 (-2.09 to 0.95)	0.95 (0.74-1.14)		24
Cancer mortality	4	445	10667	438	10685	0.16 (-0.56 to 1.02)	1.05 (0.80-1.43)		25
							0.	.5 1	 2

Zheng SL et al. JAMA. 2019;321(3):277-287. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.20578

### 2019 Meta-Analysis: Aspirin Use for Primary Prevention with CVD & Bleeding Events



2

		Aspirin		No Aspi	rin	Absolute Risk				
Cardiovascular Outcomes	No. of Studies	No. of Events	No. of Participants	No. of Events	No. of Participants	Reduction, % (95% CI)	HR (95% Crl)	Favors Aspirin	Favors No Aspirin	l <sup>2</sup>
Composite CV outcome	11	2911	79717	3072	78147	0.38 (0.20 to 0.55)	0.89 (0.84-0.95)	-8-		0
All-cause mortality	13	3622	81623	3588	80057	0.13 (-0.07 to 0.32)	0.94 (0.88-1.01)			0
CV mortality	13	995	81623	997	80057	0.07 (-0.04 to 0.17)	0.94 (0.83-1.05)		_	0
Myocardial infarction	13	1469	81623	1599	80057	0.28 (0.05 to 0.47)	0.85 (0.73-0.99)			0
Ischemic stroke	10	831	65316	942	63752	0.16 (0.06 to 0.30)	0.81 (0.76-0.87)			18
							0.	5 Hazard Rati	io (95% Crl)	2

		Aspirin		No Aspi	irin	Absolute Risk				
Bleeding Outcomes	No. of Studies	No. of Events	No. of Participants	No. of Events	No. of Participants	Increase, % (95% CI)	HR (95% Crl)	Favors Aspirin	Favors No Aspirin	l <sup>2</sup>
Major bleeding	11	1195	74715	834	73143	0.47 (0.34 to 0.62)	1.43 (1.30-1.56)			1
Intracranial bleeding	12	349	80985	257	79419	0.11 (0.04 to 0.18)	1.34 (1.14-1.57)			0
Major GI bleeding	10	593	70336	380	70465	0.30 (0.20 to 0.41)	1.56 (1.38-1.78)			2
								r		-

#### **<u>CVD prevention:</u>** Number Needed to Treat: **265** <u>**Major Bleeding:**</u> Number Needed to Harm: **210**

Zheng SL et al. JAMA. 2019;321(3):277-287.

Hazard Ratio (95% Crl)

0.5



# Net benefit vs Net Harm with ASA at 5 yrs (New Zealand)



•2.5% of women & 2% of men likely to have net benefit if 1 CVD event = 1 major bleed,
•21.4% of women & 41% of men likely to have net benefit if 1 CVD event = 2 major bleeds.





# Net benefit vs Net Harm with ASA at 5 yrs (New Zealand)



- For some persons without CVD, aspirin is likely to result in net benefit.
- Net benefit subgroups had higher baseline CVD risk, higher levels of most established CVD risk factors, & lower levels of bleeding-specific risk factors than net harm subgroups.
- No matter which weighting is used (1:1 or 1:2), fewer than half of all patients ages 30-79 years without known CVD likely derive benefit from aspirin therapy for primary CVD prevention.
- (Prevention of cancer was not included in the analysis).

Selak V et al. Ann Intern Med 2019. doi:10.7326/M19-1132



**Role of Aspirin in Primary Prevention in Modern Era:** 



So who might benefit from aspirin for primary ASCVD prevention?

-Those with subclinical atherosclerosis (CAC)?

#### 2019 ACC/AHA Primary Prevention Guideline



#### **Assessment of ASCVD: Use of CAC**

Coronary Artery Calcium (CAC) obtained by non-contrast cardiac CT









#### Can CAC inform Aspirin Decision? (modeling from MESA)



Michael D. Miedema et al. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2014;7:453-460



**Role of Aspirin in Primary Prevention in Modern Era:** 



# So who else might benefit from aspirin for primary ASCVD prevention?



#### **Remaining questions:** Other subgroups that might benefit?



#### • HIV

- Increased platelet dysfunction & immune activation in HIV, which is decreased with aspirin
- Do we need a "REPRIEVE"-like trial for aspirin?
- Auto-immune Disease
  - RA, SLE, & psoriatic arthritis are inflammatory disorders with increased burden of subclinical CAD & clinical CVD risk



# What about aspirin in those age >70 for primary prevention?



- Avoid initiating in "healthy" older adults age >70
  - Taking it preventively will not increase survival.
  - Given higher bleeding risk, difficult to justify routine use.
  - Don't take it to prevent cancer, as we do not know whether it helps or hurts
- What if already on therapy & doing well, should we **de-prescribe**?
  - We say say Yes
  - But engage patient in a shared discussion making discussion about stopping vs continuing





#### Making sense of Aspirin for CV Prevention: Our thoughts



- Aspirin still strongly indicated for secondary prevention
- Most healthy people should not take daily aspirin
- These recommendations differ from prior AHA guidelines recommending that aspirin is considered for patients with 10-yr ASCVD risk ≥10%.
- There may be **select** patients age 40 to 70 who have a very high risk of ASCVD, who may benefit if low risk for bleeding.



#### Making sense of Aspirin for CV Prevention: Our thoughts



- Consider low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg/day) in:
  - current smoking
  - strong family history of premature heart attacks
  - very elevated cholesterol with intolerance to statins
  - Subclinical atherosclerosis, CAC >100
  - Select patients with diabetes with ASCVD >10%?



## **Making Sense of Aspirin for CV Prevention**

- Consider low-dose aspirin (75-100 mg/day) in:
  - Current smoking
  - Strong family history of early heart attacks
  - Very elevated cholesterol with statin intolerance
  - Subclinical atherosclerosis, CAC >100
  - Select patients with ASCVD >20%?
- Thoughtful decisions needed in context of risk discussion



#### Making sense of Aspirin for CV Prev: Another viewpoint



"Thus, beyond diet maintenance, exercise, and smoking cessation, the best strategy for the use of aspirin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease may simply be to prescribe a statin instead."

-Dr. Paul Ridker, NEJM 2018







#### Healthy lifestyle is anti-inflammatory



"To prevent a heart attack, take one aspirin a day. Take it out for a jog, then take it to the gym, then take it for a bike ride..."

#### **Blood Pressure**



		-	
BLOOD PRESSURE CATEGORY	SYSTOLIC mm Hg (upper number)		DIASTOLIC mm Hg (lower number)
NORMAL	LESS THAN 120	and	LESS THAN 80
ELEVATED	120 - 129	and	LESS THAN 80
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE (HYPERTENSION) STAGE 1	130 - 139	or	80 - 89
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE (HYPERTENSION) STAGE 2	140 OR HIGHER	or	90 OR HIGHER
HYPERTENSIVE CRISIS (consult your doctor immediately)	HIGHER THAN 180	and/or	HIGHER THAN 120

#### **Blood Pressure**



		<u>B</u> LOOD PRESSURE
COR	LOE	Recommendations
I	A	<ol> <li>In adults with elevated blood pressure (BP) including those requiring antihypertensive medications <u>nonpharmacological</u> interventions are recommended:</li> <li>weight loss</li> <li>heart-healthy dietary pattern</li> <li>sodium reduction</li> <li>dietary potassium supplementation</li> <li>increased physical activity with a structured exercise program</li> <li>limited alcohol</li> </ol>





- <u>STATIN</u> Rx is 1<sup>st</sup>-line for primary prevention of ASCVD in patients:
  - Elevated LDL-C levels (>190 mg/dl)
  - Those with diabetes mellitus who are age 40–75
  - Those determined to be at sufficient ASCVD risk after risk discussion

### Top 10 Take Home Messages of '18 Guidelines

- 2. If <u>clinical ASCVD</u>, reduce LDL-C with <u>high-intensity</u> statin or max. tolerated statin
  - The more LDL-C is reduced  $\rightarrow$  the greater the risk reduction
  - Use <u>max. tolerated</u> statin to lower LDL-C by ≥50%





## Top 10 Take Home Messages of '18 Guidelines

- 3. <u>Very high-risk ASCVD</u>: use LDL-C threshold of 70 mg/dL to consider nonstatin
- <u>Very high-risk</u>: multiple major events or 1 major event + high-risk conditions
- Reasonable to add <u>ezetimibe</u> to max. tolerated statin if LDL-C remains ≥70
- If LDL-C ≥70 on max. statin + ezetimibe → adding <u>PCSK9i</u> is reasonable
  - \* long-term (>3 yrs) cost-effectiveness less certain





## Top 10 Take Home Messages of '18 Guidelines

# 4. Severe primary hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C ≥190) → begin high-intensity statin

• If LDL-C  $\geq$ 100  $\rightarrow$  <u>ezetimibe</u> reasonable

If LDL-C on statin + ezetimibe remains ≥100 & other risk factors → consider <u>PCSK9i</u>, though long-term (>3 yrs) economic value less clear







### **Key Inclusion Criteria – REDUCE-IT**

- Age ≥45 years with established CVD (Secondary Prevention Cohort) or ≥50 years with DM with ≥1 additional risk factor for CVD (Primary Prevention Cohort)
- 2. Fasting TG levels ≥150 mg/dL & <500 mg/dL\*
- 3. LDL-C >40 & ≤100 mg/dL and on stable statin Rx (± ezetimibe) for ≥4 weeks prior to qualifying measurements for randomization

\*Due to the variability of triglycerides, a 10% allowance existing in the initial protocol, which permitted patients to be enrolled with qualifying triglycerides ≥135 mg/dL. protocol amendment 1 (May 2013) changed the lower limit of acceptable triglycerides from 150 mg/dL to 200 mg/dL, with no variability allowance.

Adapted with permission<sup>‡</sup> from: Bhatt DL, Steg PG, Brinton EA, et al; on behalf of the REDUCE-IT Investigators. Rationale and design of REDUCE-IT: Reduction of Cardiovascular Events with Icosapent Ethyl–Intervention Trial. *Clin Cardiol*. 2017;40:138-148. [\*https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/]

Together with

ESC Congress World Congress Paris 2019 of Cardiology

### Primary End Point: USA Subgroup CV Death, MI, CVA, Revasc, UAP



#### Bhatt DL, Miller M, Brinton EA, et al. Circulation. 2019. Bhatt DL. AHA 2019, Philadelphia.

\*Estimated Kaplan-Meier event rate at approximately 5.7 years. The curves were visually truncated at 5.7 years because a limited number of events occurred beyond that time point; all patient data were included in the analyses.

ESC Congress World Congress Paris 2019 of Cardiology

Together with

#### **Cigarettes: Rx Options for Cessation**



		Patch	If >10 cigarettes/day use 21 mg If <10 cigarettes/day use 14 mg or 7 mg
	3.250	Gum	2 mg or 4 mg (start with 4mg if first tobacco is ≤30 min from waking); max
Nicotine	0	Lozenge	is 20 lozenges or 24 pieces of gum per day
replacement therapy		Nasal spray	10 mg/mL
		Oral inhaler	10 10-mg cartridge (max 6-16 cartridges/day)
Other		Bupropion	150 mg SR daily (up to twice daily)
	$\sim$	Varenicline	0.5 mg daily titrated to 1 mg twice daily

#### **Cigarette/Tobacco Cessation**



	<u>C</u> IGARETTES/TOBACCO					
COR	LOE	Recommendations				
lla	B-R	To facilitate tobacco cessation, it is reasonable to dedicate trained staff to tobacco treatment in every healthcare system.				
III: Harm	B-NR	All adults & adolescents should avoid secondhand smoke exposure to reduce risk.				

#### **Diet/Nutrition**



	<u>D</u> IET				
COR	LOE	Recommendations			
		1. Diet emphasizing intake of vegetables,			
I.	B-R	fruits, legumes, nuts, whole grains, & fish			
		is recommended to decrease risk factors.			
		2. Replacement of saturated fat with dietary			
lla	B-NR	monounsaturated & polyunsaturated fats			
		can be beneficial.			
		3. Diet containing reduced amounts of			
lla	<b>B-NR</b>	cholesterol & sodium can be beneficial.			

#### **Diet/Nutrition**



	<u>D</u> IET				
COR	LOE	Recommendations			
lla	B-NR	4. As part of a healthy diet, it is reasonable to minimize intake of processed meats, refined carbohydrates, & sweetened beverages.			
III- Harm	B-NR	5. As part of a healthy diet, the intake of trans fats should be avoided to reduce risk.			

#### **Diet/Nutrition**





### Diabetes: Non-pharmacologic Recommendations for T2DM





- Tailored Comprehensive Nutritional Plan
  - Mediterranean, DASH, vegetarian/vegan
  - Team based approach: registered dietitian-nutritionist or DM education program.



#### • Exercise

• A combination of aerobic and resistance is better than either alone.



- Set A GOAL
- Better glycemic control + improve weight

#### **Diabetes Mellitus – Type 2**



		<u>D</u> IABETES
COR	LOE	Recommendations
lla	B-R	3. For adults with T2DM, it is reasonable to initiate metformin as 1st-line Rx along with lifestyle therapies at time of diagnosis to improve glycemic control & reduce risk.

#### **Diabetes Mellitus – Type 2**



		<u>D</u> IABETES
COR	LOE	Recommendations
IIb	B-R	4. For adults with T2DM & additional ASCVD risk factors who require glucose-lowering Rx despite initial lifestyle modifications & metformin, it may be reasonable to initiate a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitor or a glucagon- like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) agonist to improve glycemic control & reduce risk.



Very high-risk	Patients with DM <b>and</b> established CVD or other target organ damage <sup>a</sup> or three or more major risk factors <sup>b</sup> or early onset T1DM of long duration (>20 years)
High-risk	Patients with DM duration ≥10 years without target organ damage plus any other additional risk factor
Moderate-risk	Young patients (T1DM <35 years; T2DM <50 years) with DM duration <10 years, without other risk factors

Proteinurea, renal impairment defined as eGFR < 30mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>, left ventricular hypertrophy, or retinopathy.<sup>b</sup>Age, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking, obesity

ESC Guidelines on Diabetes, pre-diabetes and cardiovascular diseases in collaboration
## **Spectrum of Physical Activity**





## Cumulative Impact of Evidence-Based Heart Failure with Reduced EF Medical Therapies

	<b>Relative-risk</b>	2 yr Mortality
None		35%
ACEI or ARB	↓ 23%	27%
Beta Blocker	↓ 35%	18%
Aldosterone An	t ↓ 30%	13%
<b>ARNI</b> (replacing ACEI/ARB)	↓ 16%	11%
SGLT2 inhibitor	↓ 17%	9%

Cumulative risk reduction if all evidence-based medical therapies are used: Relative risk reduction 74.0%, Absolute risk reduction: 25.9%, NNT = 3.9

Updated from Fonarow GC, et al. Am Heart J 2011;161:1024-1030 and Lancet 2008;372:1195-1196.

```
<u>Assess Risk: #PCE 1st</u> \rightarrow Personalized assessment (Low risk: <5%, Borderline/Intermediate risk: 5-<20% & high risk: \geq20%) \rightarrow Refine by CAC; CHA2DS2-VASc for CVA risk in case of Afib
```

<u>Antiplatelet Therapy:</u> #Rethink aspirin – Smoking, FamHx early MI, HeFH, CAC >100? ASCVD >20%?; P2Y12 inhibitor if recent PCI; Anticoagulate with NOAC or warfarin

A

B Blood Pressure: #120 is new 140, Lifestyle 1st, If high risk  $\rightarrow$  meds @ 130/80, If low risk  $\rightarrow$  meds @ 140/90

<u>Cholesterol</u>: #PCE + REF; Shared decision making; Discuss statin if intermediate risk; Refine by CAC: Power of Zero!; If high-risk  $\rightarrow$  target LDL-C <70mg/dl; Maximize statin  $\rightarrow$  ezetimibe  $\rightarrow$  PCSK9i

<u>Cigarette:</u> #Never too late to quit; 1<sup>st</sup> motivational interviewing  $\rightarrow$  PharmacoRx next; Individualized and/or group social support counseling. Diabetes: #Screen for high risk (long duration, albuminuria, eGFR<60, retinopathy, neuropathy, ABI<0.9); Rx: Lifestyle →Metformin → SGLT2i/GLP1-RA; ACEI/ARB for BP.

<u>**Diet:</u></u> #Calculate BMI; Eat vegetables, fruits, nuts, legumes, whole grains, fish; Counseling & caloric restriction for maintaining weight loss</u>** 

 $\frac{\text{Exercise:}}{\text{Exercise:}} \text{ #target > 150 min./week of moderate or > 75 min./week of vigorous-intensity activity; Any moderate-intensity physical activity is beneficial; Consider mHealth!}$ 

F <u>Heart Failure</u>: #ACEI/ARB/Aldosterone antagonist/ARNI/Beta Blocker should be considered; Consider ICD for those with low EF



## **'ABCDEF' of Cardiovascular Prevention**





The Ciccarone Center for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease at Johns Hopkins





Thank you!